**Short Critical Review- 1000 words**

Write a short critical review of one of the following texts:

1. Stone, Bryan. [**Evangelism After Pluralism: The Ethics of Christian Witness**](http://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/harvest/detail.action?docID=5389158). Grand Rapids, Michigan: Baker Academic, 2018.
2. Chan, Sam. [**Evangelism in a Skeptical World: How to Make the Unbelievable News About Jesus More Believable**](http://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/harvest/detail.action?docID=5702675). Grand Rapids, Zondervan, 2018.
3. Dickson, John. [**The Best Kept Secret of Christian Mission**](https://www.bookdepository.com/Best-Kept-Secret-Christian-Mission-John-Dickson/9780310515470). Grand Rapids, Michigan: Zondervan, 2010.
4. Pope Francis. **[Evangelii Gaudium](https://www.amazon.com.au/Joy-Gospel-Evangelii-Gaudium/dp/1593252625%22%20%5Ct%20%22_blank)**[**(The Joy of the Gospel)**](https://www.amazon.com.au/Joy-Gospel-Evangelii-Gaudium/dp/1593252625). Frederick, Maryland: Word Among Us Press, 2014.

In writing their review, students must follow the parameters set out by the ‘Book Review Template’, as it will be marked in line with the ‘Book Review Marking Grid’, both located at the end of this subject outline. Students should engage with 1-2 other books on evangelism as part of their review (in providing a thorough critique that places the book within the context of broader literature on this area of study).

This assessment does not require an abstract.

**Students are required to set out their book review assignment according to the below criteria:**

Using the structure set out below, write your book review (without any subheadings):

1.            Open your review with a brief (1-2 sentence), general observation about the area of study the book addresses

2.            Introduce the author and book, explaining the book’s context (e.g. the intended audience, the author’s cultural background, etc.)

3.            Explain the main argument (thesis) of the book

4.            Outline the author’s main arguments (including how these are developed throughout and contribute towards the book’s thesis)

5.            Summarise the strengths of the book

6.            Summarize the shortcomings of the book – suggest how these might be rectified (note: make sure to critique the author’s arguments and methodology)

7.            Briefly reflect on your personal response to the text and how it might be applied in your context

8.            Outline any unique contributions this book makes to the area of study

9.            Conclude your review by summing up the merits and faults of the book, ending with some words about what the author has achieved with this book – not yourself or the area of study.

Please note:

•      Do not use subheadings

•      For your reviewed book, only direct quotes need to be referenced, using Turabian (as it is assumed the text is the focus of the review).

•      When you refer to any other sources besides your reviewed book (either directly or indirectly), you must reference these with Turabian footnoting.