



## Peer Review

In ENG100, we learned about peer reviews. Remember that in peer reviews most of your comments should be on the global level. Your response will help your classmates develop their ideas.

**Here are some questions your critique might address:**

### *Global Comments*

Your peer review should focus on these:

- What did you think the paper's thesis was?
- Is the paper's thesis clear?
- Do you feel that the introduction properly sets up the rhetorical situation of the political advertisement?
- Do you feel like the author was accurate in describing the rhetorical strategies of the ad?
- Do you feel like the author may have overlooked some rhetorical strategies that could be addressed?
- Do you disagree with any part of the paper?
  - Could you play devil's advocate?
- Do you feel like the paper was misreading the ad or taking it too much out of context?
- Do you feel like the author's opinion comes into the paper too much or that the paper digresses into other subjects?
- Did you feel lost in the paper at any time?
  - If so, what did you find confusing?

- Are there any questions that popped into your mind that you thought the paper could address?
- Did the paper feel organized?
  - Did you feel guided through the paper, or did it feel like you had to work to figure out what the paper was saying or where it was going?
- Did you feel the paper was redundant at any point?
- Did you feel like the paper used analysis sufficiently?
- Did the paper incorporate one of the texts in Week Three in a meaningful way that helped add meaning to the analysis?
- Do the introduction and conclusion do the job they are supposed to?

### *Minor Specific Concerns*

Comment on these sparingly:

- Is the paper using MLA in-text citation correctly?
- Are there patterns of grammar or spelling mistakes?
- Is the tone and language of the paper formal enough for academic writing?